

Attachment 2

Issues with responses/decisions by Minister Palmer, DECYP, Treasury and UTAS in chronological order

While not subject to this complaint, to varying degrees, I faced numerous issues relating to these four applications, including unreasonable and unjustifiable delays in the acceptance of my applications and extensive delays in processing:

- On 4 December 2024, I made RTI applications to Minister Palmer, Treasury and DECYP.
- On 20 December 2024, I made an RTI application to UTAS.
- On 14 January 2025, I received a decision from DECYP on behalf of Minister Palmer, providing a document schedule and 21 pages of documents.¹
- On 3 February 2025, I received Treasury's decision, together with a document schedule and 15 pages of documents.
- On 21 February 2025, I received Part 1 of a two-part decision from DECYP, with a document schedule and 247 pages of documents. (I had agreed to the provision of a two-part decision with DECYP).
- On 4 April 2025, I received a decision from UTAS, with a document schedule and some 853 pages of poorly organised documents.
- On 5 May 2025, I submitted an internal review application to UTAS, requesting that gaps in documentation be addressed and that claimed exemptions be reassessed.
- On 12 May 2025, I received Part 2 of DECYP's two-part decision, with a further 196 pages of documents.
- On 16 June 2025, I received UTAS' decision on my internal review application. This reversed only a small number of redactions and provided no additional documentation. Had I known what I would receive from Minister Ogilvie, I would certainly have sought external review.

¹ After I queried, on 4 April 2025, whether consideration had been given to official WhatsApp or Text messages, I received a letter from Minister Palmer on 15 April 2025 confirming "that there were not WhatsApp or Text messages to report on". Minister Palmer's responsiveness stands in stark contrast to the lack of responsiveness/delays by DPAC, Minister Ogilvie and DSG.